USE OF MOLECULAR CHARACTERISTICS TO CALCULATE VISCOSITY OF MINERAL SOLUTIONS

I. A. Cherepennikov

UDC 532.77:532.133

The possibility of using molecular characteristics in the determination of the thermophysical parameters of fluids is shown. Approximate equations for the calculation of the dynamic viscosity of aqueous solutions of mineral salts are obtained through the use of similarity theory and molecular data.

At the present time, the theory of fluids allows one to obtain mainly qualitative relationships [1]. Therefore it is impossible to determine with its use the complete physical properties of one-component fluids. In the transition to salt solutions, the problem of calculating the physical constants becomes tremendously complicated and there is no analytical solution for this problem. There is experimental data on the properties of solutions only for a narrow range of temperatures and pressures and then not for the entire group of fluids mentioned. The consequences of such a situation are extremely undesirable because lack of knowledge of the thermophysical characteristics of solutions retards the development of reasonable designs for evaporative equipment. There is no data for the properties of the complex salt solutions [2] which are used in salt rectification.

All macroscopic properties of matter can be determined by solving the Schrodinger equation [3]. Unfortunately, using existing computers and methods of calculation, it can be solved for complex atoms only in ten billion years [4], i.e., it is insoluble in practice. Under these conditions, the introduction of molecular characteristics for the determination of thermophysical constants is most promising, in our opinion, since the properties of matter are determined by its structure (number and location of identical elementary particles). Knowledge of the linear dimensions of molecules and ions makes it possible to calculate certain properties [1, 3-6] such as density, molar volume, surface energy, modulus of elasticity, and other characteristics of matter in liquid and solid phases. In addition, there is great interest in the use of other molecular data. For the calculation of intermolecular interaction parameters, Altenburg [7] used the sum of the outer electrons of a molecule. This method made it possible to proceed to the calculation of the coefficients of viscosity, thermal conductivity, and diffusion [6]. The next molecular characteristic, the sum of nuclear charges, can be used for the determination of the fusion temperature of elements [4], the enthalpy of oxide formation [8], the atomic radius [4], etc. The molecular mass is widely used as a characteristic for the determination of physical constants of fluids: viscosity [9], thermal conductivity, heats of fusion and vaporization, diffusion coefficient, and other quantities [6, 10]. The important role of the linear dimension of an atom, from which one can determine the radius of the external electron shell [11], makes feasible the use of still another molecular characteristic - sum of electron layers, EC. The value of the molecular diameter d is also proportional to the sum of the nuclear charges [4]. At the same time, d is inversely proportional to the sum of the external electrons [12] and to the molecular mass [13]. Thus

$$d \equiv \frac{(\Sigma C)(\Sigma Z)}{M(\Sigma E)}.$$
 (1)

Previously we used in place of (1) [14, 15] the quantity l, which is derived from d, in the following form:

$$l = \left[\frac{(\Sigma C)(\Sigma Z)}{M(\Sigma E)} \right]^{1/3}.$$
 (2)

Tambov Institute of Chemical Machinery Construction. Translated from Inzhenerno-Fizicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 85-89, January, 1974. Original article submitted April 19, 1971.

© 1975 Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

The properties of aqueous solutions of mineral salts* can also be evaluated from molecular characteristics. For example, the dehydrating power of salts with identically charged cations and uniform structure of electron shells varies in proportion to ΣE and ΣZ , and is inversely proportional to the ion radius [16, 17]. The work of Debye and McCall [2] is the basis for this conclusion. This circumstance in conjunction with that noted above makes it possible to use the molecular characteristics of solutions in the form of a characteristic dimension l_S [18]. This is a complex quantity which is an additive function of the molecular data for the solute and solvent:

$$l_{s} = \left[\frac{(\Sigma C)_{s}(\Sigma Z)_{s}}{(\Sigma E)_{s} M_{s}} \right]^{1/3}. \tag{3}$$

The quantity (3) is proportional to the mean diameter of the hydrated ion. Formulas for the calculation of the molecular characteristics in (3) are given in [18].

Of the thermophysical characteristics of fluids, viscosity occupies a special position with respect to range of variation and to complexity of determination by theoretical means [19], which leads to a considerable deviation between theoretical and experimental [19, 14] values of μ (up to $\pm 30\%$ and sometimes $\pm 200\%$ and more).

We use similarity theory and molecular characteristics for the approximate determination of solution viscosities. Similarity in the temperature dependence of both water and solution viscosities [18, 20] leads to the relation

$$\mu_{S} = \mu_{W} N_{\mu}, \tag{4}$$

where N_{μ} is a conversion factor [21]. N_{μ} can be represented by [18]

$$N_{\mu} = C \left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{T}} + k_{\mathrm{H}}}{k_{\mathrm{H}}} \right)^{n_{\mathrm{I}}} \left(\frac{l_{\mathrm{S}}}{l_{\mathrm{W}}} \right)^{n_{\mathrm{2}}}. \tag{5}$$

To shorten the intermediate calculations in this paper, we use a single basic current solution temperature of 107° C (for the determination of k_{T} and k_{H}). It corresponds approximately to the average temperature depression for solution which boil at atmospheric pressure. Using Eq. (5), we obtain

$$\frac{\mu_s}{\mu_W} = CK^{n_1}L^{n_2}. \tag{6}$$

from Eq. (4) after the introduction of new notation. The ratio $\mu_{\rm S}/\mu_{\rm W}$ is a quantity which depends on the dimensionless concentration and on molecular characteristics. As shown by analysis of experimental data [22], Eq. (6) is valid within a certain range of the quantity & which takes into account the relation between absolute salt content and the degree of saturation of the solution:

$$\vartheta = K - (b+1). \tag{7}$$

Small values of & show how far from saturation are solutions having a high mass concentration.

Analysis of the experimental data shows that all solutions considered can be divided into three groups.

First Group. Solutions for which

$$L > 1$$
 ($L = 1.004 - 1.1$), $K = 1.03 - 1.36$, $\vartheta < 0$, $|\vartheta| = |0.03 - 0.17|$.

and also solutions with the characteristics:

$$L < 1$$
 ($L \le 0.971$), $K = 1.23 - 1.53$, $\vartheta > 0$, $|\vartheta| = |0.13 - 0.326|$.

By analysis of the experimental data [22], the following empirical equation was obtained for these solutions:

$$\frac{\mu_{s}}{\mu_{w}} = K^{3+|\theta|} L^{6}. \tag{8}$$

Second Group. Solutions characterized by the quantities

$$L > 1$$
, $\vartheta > 0$, $K = 1.04 - 1.51$,

^{*}Here we have in mind solutions which are formed by a metal cation and an inorganic-acid anion.

TABLE 1. Comparative Data for Viscosity of Electrolyte Solutions at p=1 bar and $t=20^{\circ}C$

Solution concentra- tion B, %	К	L	υ	msec/m ²	ucalc· 10 ⁵ msec/m ²	Relative error,%
CaCl ₂ 8,3 12 21,3 35	1,058 1,086 1,175 1,334	1,02 1,035 1,06 1,099	$\begin{array}{c c} -0,025 \\ -0,034 \\ -0,038 \\ -0,016 \end{array}$	120 137 210 510	137 157 232 424	+12,4 $+12,75$ $+8,7$ $-20,3$
MgSO ₄ 10 15 20	1,233 1,421 1,526	0,9709 0,963 0,956	$ \begin{array}{c c} +0,133 \\ +0,271 \\ +0,326 \end{array} $	186 283 410	171 311 407	$ \begin{array}{c c} -8,75 \\ +9,0 \\ -0,735 \end{array} $
KNO ₃ 10 20	1,041 1,09	0,988 0,984	_0,059 _0,11	97 97	97,5 97,5	+0,513 +0,513
KBr 10 20 37	1,104 1,234 1,503	1,0046 1,0199 1,0536	$ \begin{array}{c c} +0,004 \\ +0,033 \\ +0,133 \end{array} $	96 95 104	97,5 97,5 97,5	$\begin{array}{c c} +1,54 \\ +2,56 \\ -6,67 \end{array}$
KCl 10 16 20	1,192 1,3 1,433	1,008 1,017 1,028	$ \begin{array}{c c} +0,092 \\ +0,14 \\ +0,233 \end{array} $	99 101 102	97,5 97,5 97,5	-1,54 -3,59 -4,63
NaCI 10 15 25	1,275 1,498 1,8255	0,9783 0,9817 0,977	$\begin{vmatrix} +0,1755 \\ +0,278 \\ +0,575 \end{vmatrix}$	119 133 181	113,5 135 162	-4,86 +1,49 -11,8

and also solutions in which

$$L < 1$$
 ($L \le 0.988$), $\vartheta < 0$, $K = 1.04 - 1.25$.

The viscosity of solutions in the second group differs little from the viscosity of water. As a result of a comparison of the experimental data [22], we assume for them

$$\mu_{\rm s} \cong 0.98 \mu_{\rm w}. \tag{9}$$

Third Group. In this group are solutions with the parameters

$$1 > L > 0.976$$
, $K = 1.27 - 1.83$, $\vartheta > 0$, $|\vartheta| = [0.17 - 0.575]$.

The computational equation for these solutions obtained from an analysis of the experimental data takes the form

$$\frac{\mu s}{\mu_w} = KL^5. \tag{10}$$

In Eqs. (8)-(10), the viscosities $\mu_{\rm S}$ and $\mu_{\rm W}$ are compared at an identical temperature. Comparative data for solution viscosity is presented in Table 1. The proposed method of calculation is characterized by relative complexity and is approximate. A positive aspect of this method is the possibility of predicting certain properties of solutions without performing laborious experiments. Considering the theoretical difficulties in the determination of viscosity, the deviation of calculated values from experimental values is acceptable.

The use of the proposed method makes is possible to obtain equations for the calculation of other physical constants. For example, an equation for the calculation of surface tension in solutions has been given [18]. In addition, the use of molecular characteristics for the determination of the thermophysical constants of solutions in implicit form offers an opportunity to calculate the intensity of heat transfer during boiling of these fluids [23], which is of great value in the design of evaporative devices.

NOTATION

 ΣE , ΣZ , ΣC are the sums in all atoms of one molecule: of external electrons, positive charges and electron layer, respectively by [14, 18];

M is the molecular mass;

l is the characteristic dimension proportional to molecule diameter;

K is the current relative concentration, $K = k_T + k_H/k_H$;

- k_T is the current concentration, g salt/100 g water;
- k_H is the concentration of saturated solution corresponding to characteristic temperature;
- B is the mass concentration, %;
- b is the mass concentration in fractions of unity, b = B/100;
- is the excess dimensionless concentration;
- μ is the dynamic viscosity, N/sec·m², $\vartheta = K-(b+1)$;
- s is the index characterizing solution;
- w is the subscript referring to water.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. J. Hirsshfelder, C. Curtiss, and R. Bird, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids [Russian translation], IL, Moscow (1961).
- 2. I. N. Tsiparis, L. L. Dobroseldov, and V. B. Kogan, Salt Rectification [in Russian], Khimiya, Leningrad (1969).
- 3. A. A. Vorob'ev, Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Alkali-Halide Monocrystals [in Russian], Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow (1968).
- 4. A. A. Makarenya and D. N. Trifonov, Periodic Law of D. I. Mendeleev [in Russian], Prosveshchenie, Moscow (1969).
- 5. M. Kh. Karapet'yants and S. I. Drakin, Structure of Matter [in Russian], Khimiya, Moscow (1967).
- 6. S. T. Bretschnieder, Properties of Gases and Liquids [Russian translation], Khimiya, Moscow-Leningrad (1966).
- 7. K. Altenburg, Z. Phys. Chem., 216, 126, 139 (1946).
- 8. S. M. Ariya, Compounds of Variable Composition [in Russian], Khimiya, Leningrad (1969).
- 9. A. N. Planovskii and V. V. Kafarov, Khim. Prom., No. 8, 19 (1944).
- 10. K. F. Pavlov, P. G. Romankov, and A. A. Noskov, Examples and Problems in the Processes and Apparatus of Chemical Engineering [in Russian], Khimiya, Leningrad (1970).
- 11. G. E. Pustovalov, Atomic and Nuclear Physics [in Russian], MGU (1968).
- 12. H. Grey, Electrons and the Chemical Bond [Russian translation], Mir, Moscow (1967).
- 13. L. G. Gol'din and G. I. Novikov, Introduction to Atomic Physics [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1969).
- 14. I. A. Cherepennikov and V. B. Korobov, Inzh. Fiz. Zh., 15, No. 1, 139 (1968).
- 15. V. I. Astaf'ev and I. A. Cherepennikov, Inzh. Fiz. Zh., 16, No. 6, 1034 (1969).
- 16. V. G. Karev, Author's abstract of dissertation, TGU, Tomsk (1964).
- 17. L. I. Chentsova, Author's abstract of dissertation, Siberian Technological Institute, Krasnoyarsk (1967).
- 18. I. A. Cherepennikov, in: Transactions Tambov Institute of Chemical Machinery Construction, No. 1 (1967); No. 2 (1968); No. 3 (1969); No. 4 (1970).
- 19. R. Reid and T. Sherwood, Properties of Liquids and Gases [Russian translation], Gostoptekhizdat, Moscow (1964).
- 20. L. A. Bakhtin, N. A. Shakhova, and L. S. Aksel'rod, Collected Notes No. 3, Mass-Exchange Processes in Chemical Engineering [in Russian], Khimiya, Leningrad (1968).
- 21. A. A. Gukhman, Introduction to Similarity Theory [in Russian], Vysshaya Shkola, Moscow (1963).
- 22. Handbook of Chemistry [in Russian], Vol. 3, Khimiya, Moscow-Leningrad (1954).
- 23. I. A. Cherepennikov, Inzh. Fiz. Zh., 17, No. 6, 1102 (1969).